

SIM/MATH/96-01

TOPOLOGY, QUANTUM GRAVITY AND PARTICLE PHYSICS

Andrew Toon¹

Singapore Institute of Management

Open University Degree Programmes

Mathematics Department

535A Clementi Road

Singapore 599490

Abstract

It is argued that quantum gravity has an interpretation as a topological quantum field theory provided a certain constraint from the path integral measure is respected. The constraint forces us to couple gauge and matter fields to gravity for space - time dimensions different from 3. We then discuss possible models which may be relevant to our universe.

¹E-mail: andrewtoon@sim.ac.sg

1 Introduction

Why do we live in a 4 dimensional space - time? Why does the standard model have its particle content of 3 generations and why is its gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$? These are just a few fundamental questions as yet unanswered by our current favorite theories.

String theory has for many years promised to answer these types of questions. For example, the development of the heterotic string theory suggested that, at the Planck scale, the number of space - time dimensions is 10 with an $E_8 \times E_8$ gauge group together with some particle content. However, the theory does not tell us how to compactify, if at all, to 4 space - time dimensions and how the gauge group $E_8 \times E_8$ breaks down towards the standard model around the electroweak scale. The development of various compactification schemes, as well as the construction of intrinsically four dimensional models, soon lead to a vast number of string theories which would seem to ruin string theories initial uniqueness as far as anomaly cancellation is concerned. However, it is hoped that most of these theories are simply different phases of the same theory as exemplified by the program of mirror symmetry.

What is needed is some kind of “renormalisation group equation” for the number of space - time dimensions, gauge group etc. which tells us how these quantities vary with energy scale. This of course being a very difficult question whose answer must await a non - perturbative approach to string theory.

String theory is not the only possible answer to our fundamental questions. Theories based on conventional field theories may still have a chance of answering these deep issues but these kind of theories, admittedly, must be of a new kind and draw upon new symmetries to come up with acceptable answers.

The approach we have in mind is topological field theories [1, 2]. These theories first made their impact by the way they reproduce topological invariants of certain smooth manifolds [3]. From a physical point of view it is hoped that these theories may have something to do with quantum gravity. That is, one imagines the initial phase of our universe to be in an unbroken phase where there is no space - time metric. The universe we see would then correspond to the so called broken phase where, via some mechanism, the initial unbroken phase develops some metric dependence thereby generating a space - time structure where physics can operate.

The model example of this is Witten’s interpretation of 2 + 1 quantum gravity as a Chern - Simons gauge theory with gauge group $ISO(2, 1)$, $SO(3, 1)$ or $SO(2, 2)$ depending

on whether the cosmological constant is zero, positive or negative [4, 5]. This theory has two natural phases depending on whether a certain operator has zero modes or not and it is argued that each of these phases corresponds to the unbroken and broken phases of gravity. However, the theory has several draw backs as far as physics is concerned. There is a deep problem with incorporating second quantised matter fields which in some way must only manifest themselves in the broken phase but melt away in the unbroken phase [5]. It also, of course, misses the physically interesting ball park of four dimensional space - time.

There do exist topological field theories in four dimensions but at present they seem to have nothing to do with gravity [6]. This being our biggest obstacle before we even begin to worry on how to incorporate second quantised matter fields etc. into the theory. However, there exists topological field theories in five dimensions which reproduces classical gravity in four dimensions when appropriate boundary conditions are imposed on the five dimensional topological field theory [7, 8].

In trying to make contact between physics and topological field theories, most approaches start from the top down. That is, one starts off with a topological field theory and attempt to make contact with geometry and hence physics via some symmetry breaking mechanism. This of course is a familiar approach but it is extremely difficult to see how the enormous symmetries involved break down in a desirable way. Perhaps a better approach is to start at the bottom and work up. That is, start off with conventional ideas of quantum gravity and attempt to make contact with topological field theories.

An approach along these lines was attempted in [9]. Here, it was argued that quantum Einstein gravity, when coupled to appropriate fields, has an interpretation as a topological field theory. The observations made here were very interesting in that a powerful constraint was discovered which involved the number of space - time dimensions, the dimensions of possible gauge groups together with the possible dimensions of the representations that any matter fields could transform under. In some sense, this constraint tells us how the matter fields of the theory varies as the number of space - time dimensions varies which in turn we imagine being related to the energy scale of the theory.

The approach in [9] was in the second order formalism. We now wish to perform a similar analysis in the first order formalism since in this approach the theory is more like a gauge theory and we wish to include fermionic matter.

2 Topological field theories

In this section we review the relevant facts of topological field theories in order to discover what is needed in the case of quantum gravity for it to have an interpretation as a topological field theory. In particular, we will be interested in Schwarz type topological field theories where the crucial point being in the gauge fixing. It is this procedure which really tells us what we mean by a Schwarz type topological field theory.

Consider then an n -dimensional smooth manifold M_n which we regard as an n -dimensional space-time. We assume we can construct some classical action S on M_n which is general co-ordinate invariant together with some gauge symmetry. That is, in our case, S does not contain any space-time metric of M_n . To evaluate, for example, the partition function corresponding to S we must gauge fix. The important point here is in order to fully gauge fix the theory we must choose some metric g_{ij} on M_n . The fully gauged fixed quantum action S_q then takes the form²:

$$S_q[\Phi, g_{ij}] = S[\Phi_r] + \delta_Q V[\Phi_r, g_{ij}]. \quad (1)$$

Here Φ_r ($r = 1, 2, \dots$) are the fields of the theory including matter, gauge, ghost and auxiliary fields etc. The second term on the right hand side is the ghost plus gauge fixing term associated with all the gauge invariance of $S[\Phi_r]$. δ_Q stands for the related nilpotent BRS - transformation and thus the entire gauge fixing plus ghost term is written as a BRST variation of some functional $V[\Phi_r, g_{ij}]$. The partition function is thus given by:

$$Z(M_n, g_{ij}) = \int D[\Phi] \exp iS_q[\Phi_r, g_{ij}]. \quad (2)$$

What we mean by topological invariance is, for example, the partition function $Z(M_n, g_{ij})$ only depends on the gauge fixing metric g_{ij} topologically:

$$\frac{\delta Z(M_n, g_{ij})}{\delta g^{ij}} = \int D[\Phi] \exp(iS_q) \frac{\delta(\delta_Q V)}{\delta g^{ij}} = \int D[\Phi] \exp(iS_q) \delta_Q \left(\frac{\delta V}{\delta g^{ij}} \right) = 0, \quad (3)$$

by BRST invariance [2]. That is, a small variation in the metric g_{ij} will not change the partition function $Z(M_n, g_{ij})$.

What is crucial in the above observation is the path integral measure $D[\Phi]$ of equation (2) be independent of the gauge fixing metric g_{ij} . This, in general, will not be the case as we now discuss.

²We will ignore such issues as Gribov ambiguities in this paper.

Since we are essentially considering a field theory on a curved manifold with metric g_{ij} , the partition function for the theory is not the naive one of equation (2) but is given by:

$$\tilde{Z}(M_n, g_{ij}) = \int \tilde{D}[\Phi] \exp iS_q[\Phi_r, g_{ij}], \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{D}[\Phi]$ stands for an appropriate general co-ordinate invariant measure over the set of fields Φ_r . Fujikawa [10] has proposed the following choice of the measure for being co-ordinate invariant:

$$\tilde{D}[\Phi] = \prod_x [\prod_r d\tilde{\Phi}_r(x)], \quad (5)$$

where for any given field component Φ_r :

$$\tilde{\Phi}_r(x) = g^{\alpha_r}(x)\Phi_r(x), \quad (6)$$

where $g(x) = \det g_{ij}$. The α_r are constants which depend upon the tensor nature of the field as well as the number of space - time dimensions. Specifically we have:

$$\alpha_r = \frac{n-2m}{4n}, \quad (7)$$

for each component of a covariant tensor of rank m in n - dimensions. It is now clear that:

$$\prod_x d\tilde{\Phi}(x) = \prod_x d[g^{\alpha_r}(x)\Phi_r(x)] = \prod_x [g^{\alpha_r\sigma_r}(x)d\Phi_r(x)], \quad (8)$$

where the signature σ_r is $+ (-1)$ for commuting (ant - commuting) fields. Thus, the general covariant Fujikawa measure becomes:

$$\tilde{D}[\Phi] = \prod_x [g(x)]^K [\prod_{r,y} d\Phi_r(y)], \quad (9)$$

where:

$$K = \sum_r \sigma_r \alpha_r, \quad (10)$$

is an index which measures the g - metric dependence of the path integral measure. The partition function (4) thus becomes:

$$\tilde{Z}(M_n, g_{ij}) = \prod_x [g(x)]^K Z(M_n, g_{ij}), \quad (11)$$

where $Z(M_n, g_{ij})$ is the partition function using the naive path integral measure $D[\Phi] = \prod_{x,r} [d\Phi_r(x)]$. Thus, for topological invariance to be preserved at the quantum level with respect to the metric g_{ij} , we require [11]:

$$K = \sum_r \sigma_r \alpha_r = 0. \quad (12)$$

The observations made in this section are the basic ideas regarding quantum gravity as a topological field theory. We wish to write down a classical action for quantum gravity in terms of vielbein and spin connection fields. In order to quantise the theory we must gauge fix due to diffeomorphism invariance. To gauge fix we pick some gauge fixing metric g_{ij} on M_n (unrelated to the vielbein fields) and argue that its corresponding partition function does not depend upon the choice of g_{ij} . That is, we may formally view quantum gravity as a topological quantum field theory with respect to the gauge fixing metric g_{ij} provided the constraint (12) is satisfied.

3 Gravity as a gauge theory

In this section, we wish to discuss gravity as a gauge theory in n dimensional space - time. When $n = 3$, gravity with a zero cosmological constant has a natural interpretation as a Chern - Simons gauge theory with gauge group $ISO(2, 1)$ [4, 5]. However, in $n \neq 3$, these ideas cannot be generalised due to the fact that we cannot construct a non - degenerate bilinear form on the Lie algebra of $ISO(n - 1, 1)$. Let us then discuss in some detail in what sense we may construct a gauge theory of gravity in a n - dimensional space - time ($n > 2$) with zero cosmological constant.

Gravity is most naturally thought of as a gauge theory associated with rotations and translations of Minkowski space, that is the Poincaré group. The Poincaré group in n space - time dimensions contains n generators P_a ($a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) associated with space - time translations, and $n(n - 1)/2$ generators M_{ab} associated with Lorentzian rotations. The algebra of these generators satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} [P_a, P_b] &= 0, \\ [M_{ab}, P_c] &= \eta_{bc}P_a - \eta_{ac}P_b, \\ [M_{ab}, M_{cd}] &= \eta_{ac}M_{bd} + \eta_{bd}M_{ac} - \eta_{bc}M_{ad} - \eta_{ad}M_{bc}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where η_{ab} is the Lorentz metric in n dimensional space - time.

In this article, we consider a gauge theory of gravity to be a gauge theory corresponding to the Poincaré algebra (13). That is, we regard the Poincaré group as an internal symmetry. As a step in this direction, let us define the covariant derivative:

$$D_i = \partial_i + e_i^a P_a + \frac{1}{2}\omega_i^{ab}M_{ab}, \tag{14}$$

where e_i^a are the gauge fields associated with translations (the vielbeins) with $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ representing curved space - time indices and $\omega_i^{ab} = -\omega_i^{ba}$ are the gauge fields associated with Lorentzian rotations (the spin connections). We may now immediately calculate:

$$[D_i, D_j] = R_{ij}^a P_a + \frac{1}{2} R_{ij}^{ab} M_{ab}, \quad (15)$$

where:

$$R_{ij}^a = \partial_i e_j^a - \partial_j e_i^a + e_{ib} \omega_j^{ab} - e_{jb} \omega_i^{ab}, \quad (16)$$

and:

$$R_{ij}^{ab} = \partial_i \omega_j^{ab} - \partial_j \omega_i^{ab} + \omega_{ic}^a \omega_j^{cb} - \omega_{jc}^a \omega_i^{cb}. \quad (17)$$

An infinitesimal gauge parameter can be written as $u = \rho^a P_a + (1/2) \tau^{ab} M_{ab}$ where ρ^a and τ^{ab} are infinitesimal parameters. Since, under a general gauge transformation, the covariant derivative transforms as $D'_i = h D_i h^{-1}$, where $h = \exp u$ in our case, we deduce the following transformation rules for the vielbein and spin - connection fields:

$$\delta e_i^a = -\partial_i \rho^a - \omega_i^a{}_b \rho^b + \tau^{ab} e_{ib}, \quad (18)$$

$$\delta \omega_i^{ab} = -\partial_i \tau^{ab} - \omega_{ci}^b \tau^{ac} + \omega_i^a{}_c \tau^{cb}. \quad (19)$$

We now discuss in what sense we consider gravity as a gauge theory. The most obvious requirement is to construct some action, involving the fields e_i^a and ω_i^{ab} , which is invariant under the transformations (18) and (19) and reproduces ordinary classical general relativity. One way to construct the required action is to demand what one normally considers to be the space - time metric, $g_{ij} = \eta_{ab} e_i^a e_j^b$, to have the correct transformation properties under diffeomorphisms. This requirement forces us to demand e_i^a be invertible and R_{ij}^a of equation (16) to vanish. Thus, we require:

$$R_{ij}^a = \partial_i e_j^a - \partial_j e_i^a + e_{ib} \omega_j^{ab} - e_{jb} \omega_i^{ab} = 0 \quad (20)$$

to follow as a constraint from the action. An action with the required properties is:

$$S = \int e^a \wedge e^b \dots \wedge R^{cd}(\omega) \epsilon_{ab\dots cd} = \int e_i^a e_j^b \dots R_{kl}^{cd} \epsilon^{ij\dots kl} \epsilon_{ab\dots cd}, \quad (21)$$

where there are $n-2$ vielbeins e and $R(\omega) = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega$ is the curvature two - form of the local $SO(n-1, 1)$ gauge invariance. In component form it is given by equation (17).

In order to show the equivalence of (21) with ordinary general relativity, we must demand $\det(e_i^a) \neq 0$. Since we can make sense of the action (21) and hence the field equations even when $\det(e_i^a) = 0$, it is clear the action (21) represents an extension of ordinary general relativity [13]. In any case, we will take the action (21) as our action for gravity in n space - time dimensions ($n > 2$).

4 First order quantum gravity

Our starting point is pure gravity in n - space - time dimensions ($n > 2$) in the first order formalism with zero cosmological constant. The classical action of interest is thus:

$$S = \int_{M_n} e^a \wedge e^b \wedge \dots \wedge R^{cd}(\omega) \epsilon_{ab\dots cd}, \quad (22)$$

where M_n is some fixed manifold. We could add to this action other terms such as the square of the curvature tensor and higher derivative terms etc. which are consistent with the symmetries of the theory. Under these circumstances the field content of the classical theory will remain the same but there may be additional ghost fields to worry about which are not part of the standard gauge fixing prescription as presented below. We therefore consider the classical action as given by equation (22).

We now wish to regard the fields e and ω as gauge fields unrelated to any space - time metrics. We therefore regard e and ω to be propagating on M_n and since the action (22) does not contain any metric of M_n we can view this action as a Schwarz type topological field theory and thus the full machinery of section (2) can be exploited.

Due to the local gauge invariance we must gauge fix in order to quantise the theory. As in section (2), we must pick some metric g_{ij} on M_n , unrelated to the one - forms e_i^a , to impose some gauge fixing condition. We choose the Landau gauge:

$$D^i \omega_i^{ab} = D^i e_i^a = 0, \quad (23)$$

where D^i is the gravitational covariant derivative with respect to the metric g_{ij} . It is now convenient to construct the relevant BRST variations δ_Q of the various fields in our problem. From equations (18) and (19), they are given by:

$$\delta_Q e_i^a = -\partial_i c^a - \omega_i^a{}_b c^b + e_{id} f^{ad} = -(\tilde{D}_i c)^a + e_{id} f^{ad}, \quad (24)$$

$$\delta_Q \omega_i^{ab} = -\partial_i f^{ab} - \omega_i{}^b{}_c f^{ac} + \omega_i{}^a{}_c f^{cb} = -(\tilde{D}_i f)^{ab}, \quad (25)$$

where c^a and f^{ab} are respectively the ghosts associated with the gauge invariance of e_i^a and ω_i^{ab} . Recalling we may enforce our gauge conditions (23) using Lagrange multipliers u^a and v^{ab} such that:

$$\delta_Q \bar{c}^a = u^a, \quad \delta_Q u^a = 0, \quad (26)$$

and:

$$\delta_Q \bar{f}^{ab} = v^{ab}, \quad \delta_Q v^{ab} = 0, \quad (27)$$

where \bar{c}^a and \bar{f}^{ab} are anti - ghosts, the ghost plus gauge fixing terms S_{gf} corresponding to the classical action S is therefore given by:

$$S_{gf} = \delta_Q \int_{M_n} \sqrt{g} (\bar{c}_a D^i e_i^a + \bar{f}_{ab} D^i \omega_i^{ab}). \quad (28)$$

Evaluating we obtain:

$$S_{gf} = \int_{M_n} \sqrt{g} (g^{ij} u_a D_i e_j^a + g^{ij} v_{ab} D_i \omega_j^{ab} - g^{ij} \bar{c}_a \tilde{D}_i D_j c^a - g^{ij} \bar{f}_{ab} \tilde{D}_i D_j f^{ab} + \bar{c}_a e_{id} D^i f^{ab}). \quad (29)$$

Note, the last term in equation (29) may be ignored since if in S_{gf} we integrate over the f^{ab} fields we will obtain the delta functional constraint $\delta(-g^{ij} \bar{f}_{ab} \tilde{D}_i D_j + \bar{c}_a e_{ib} D^i)$. When substituted back into S_{gf} we clearly reproduce the fourth term in S_{gf} .

The full partition function for pure gravity in the first order formalism is then formally given by:

$$Z(M_n, g_{ij}) = \int D e D \omega D u D v D \bar{f} D f D \bar{c} D c \exp i(S + S_{gf}). \quad (30)$$

We stress at this point we are not worried about perturbative renormalisability. Our interest is in the full non - perturbative partition function which we assume makes sense.

Since the BRST variations do not involve the metric g_{ij} , it is clear the demonstration of topological invariance given in section (2) for topological field theories also applies to our pure gravitational case with the partition function given by (30). That is, the classical action (22) does not contain the gauge fixing metric g_{ij} and therefore the partition function (30) will be a topological invariant with respect to the gauge fixing metric g_{ij} . It then follows that in order to ensure the absence of any topological anomalies with respect to g_{ij} , we must demand the index K given by equation (12) vanishes. We check this as follows.

The full particle content involved in evaluating the partition function (30) is:

$$\{e_i^a, \omega_i^{ab}, u_a, v_{ab}, f_{ab}, \bar{f}_{ab}, c_a, \bar{c}_a\}. \quad (31)$$

Since we have to ensure the full gauged fixed partition function is invariant under BRS - transformations, we must insert an appropriate factor of $e = \det(e_i^a)$ [12]. That is, the appropriate BRST invariant and hence gauge independent combination of fields which needs to appear in the measure of the partition function (30) is thus:

$$\{e^L e_i^a, \omega_i^{ab}, u_a, v_{ab}, f_{ab}, \bar{f}_{ab}, c_a, \bar{c}_a\}, \quad (32)$$

where L is an appropriate factor which will ensure BRST invariance of the path integral measure and is given by (remembering to keep track of the tensor nature and statistics of

the fields involved):

$$L = 2 \times n \left(n \frac{(n-2)}{4n} - \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \left(n \frac{n-2}{4n} - \frac{1}{4} \right) = 2 \times \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \frac{(n-3)}{4}, \quad (33)$$

where the factor of 2 is due to the vielbein being related to the “square” of its corresponding metric tensor. The partition function which will now be invariant under BRS - transformations is therefore given by:

$$Z(M_n, g_{ij}) = \int D\epsilon D\omega DuDvD\bar{f}DfDcD\bar{c}.e^L. \exp i(S + S_{gf}). \quad (34)$$

The index of equation (10) associated with this particle content is therefore given by:

$$K = Ln \left(\frac{n(n-2)}{4n} \right) + L = L \left[\frac{n^2 - 2n + 4}{4} \right] = 2 \times \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \left(\frac{n-3}{4} \right) \left[\frac{n^2 - 2n + 4}{4} \right]. \quad (35)$$

Clearly $K = 0$ only for $n = 3$. This of course corresponding to the computable Chern - Simons - Witten topological gravity [4, 5]. Thus, we have confirmed that in $n = 3$ dimensions, first order quantum gravity has an interpretation as a topological field theory with respect to some gauge fixing metric g_{ij} .

The constraint to $n = 3$ would seem to suggest that our approach is totally useless in attempting to predict any useful physics. However, the Universe we see today is not that of pure gravity but one including matter and gauge fields. Our next task, therefore, is to extend the pure gravitational case to include matter and gauge fields.

Consider then s complex scalar fields ϕ_p ($p = 1, 2, \dots, s$) together with f fermionic fields ψ_q ($q = 1, 2, \dots, f$) with each field transforming under some representation of a Yang - Mills gauge group G . These fields will live in the physical relevant space described by the vielbein e and spin connection ω and thus the full classical action of the combined fields is now given by:

$$S = \int_{M_n} e \wedge e \dots \wedge R(\omega) + S_{gauge} + S_\phi + S_\psi, \quad (36)$$

where S_{gauge} is the kinetic term associated with the Yang - Mills gauge group G :

$$S_{gauge} = \int \det(e) F^{ij} F_{ij}, \quad (37)$$

where F_{ij} is the covariant field strength associated with the gauge group G . S_ϕ is the classical action for the complex scalar fields:

$$S_\phi = \int \det(e) \eta^{ab} e_a^i e_b^j D_i \phi^* D_j \phi + \dots, \quad (38)$$

where D_i is the covariant derivative with respect to the Yang - Mills gauge group G and the dots represent possible gauge invariant mass and interaction terms. Finally, S_ψ is the classical action of the fermion fields:

$$S_\psi = \int \det(e) e_a^i \bar{\psi} \gamma^a D_i \psi + \dots, \quad (39)$$

where again the dots represent possible gauge invariant mass and interaction terms and here D_i is the covariant derivative with respect to the spin connection ω and Yang - Mills gauge group G . Clearly, if we are interested in chiral fermions we must insist n be even.

Note that we can only write these classical actions down on classical manifolds for which $\det(e) \neq 0$ and spin structures exist etc. One of the main motivations for this work is to try and understand how the quantum theory takes care of these fields when one attempts to integrate over all metrics. These fields must in some sense melt away on non - classical manifolds since we can only make sense of them in physical acceptable spaces. We will nonetheless continue with the hope that some light can be shed on this problem.

To quantise the theory we must again gauge fix. We do this as in the case of pure gravity but we must also, of course, gauge fix the gauge field associated with the gauge group G . This Yang - Mills gauge fixing being performed in the physically relevant space defined by the vielbein e_i^a .

The demonstration of topological invariance of section (2) is unaffected by the inclusion of our fields provided the index K is zero. Again, however, we must insert an appropriate factor e^L into the corresponding partition function in order to ensure BRST invariance with respect to all the fields of interest. Our combination of fields now gives:

$$L = 2 \times \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \frac{(n-3)}{4} + \dim_{adj}(G) \frac{(n-3)}{4} + \frac{2}{4} \sum_{p=1}^s \dim(\phi_p) - \frac{2}{4} \sum_{q=1}^f \dim(\psi_q) \right], \quad (40)$$

where $\dim_{adj}(G)$ is the dimension of the adjoint representation of the gauge group G , $\dim(\phi_p)$ and $\dim(\psi_q)$ are the dimensions of the possible representations the scalar and fermion fields may transform under the gauge group G respectively, the factor of 2 in front of the summations are because the scalar and fermion fields are complex since we wish to couple these fields to gauge fields. The factor of 2 in front of the square bracket is again due to some metric tensor being the “square” of the vielbein.

With this appropriate factor, our full particle content for the theory is:

$$\{e^L e_i^a, \omega_i^{ab}, u_a, v_{ab}, f_a, \bar{f}_a, c_{ab}, \bar{c}_{ab}, A_i, b, h, \bar{h}, \phi, \psi\}, \quad (41)$$

where A_i is the gauge field associated with the Yang - Mills gauge group G (gauge group indices not shown) and b , h and \bar{h} are the corresponding Lagrange multiplier field (which enforces some Yang Mills gauge constraint), ghost and anti - ghost fields respectively. We may now formally construct the partition function for this particle content and calculate the index K . We therefore deduce:

For topological invariance to be preserved in the full quantum theory with respect to the gauge fixing metric g_{ij} , we must demand:

$$K = 2 \times \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \frac{(n-3)}{4} + \frac{(n-3)}{4} \dim_{adj}(G) + \frac{2}{4} \sum_{p=1}^s \dim(\phi_p) - \frac{2}{4} \sum_{q=1}^f \dim(\psi_q) \right] \\ \times \left[\frac{n^2 - 2n + 4}{4} \right] = 0. \quad (42)$$

Inspection of equation (42) shows a kind of “supersymmetry” at work here in the sense the contribution of all the fermion fields must be canceled by the contribution of all the integer spin fields in a given space - time dimension. This presumably is tied up with the fact that topological field theories have no degrees of freedom on the topological space under consideration. In our case, the space associated with the gauge fixing metric g_{ij} and not the physically interesting space defined via the vielbein fields e_i^a , which we now discuss.

5 Degrees of freedom

It is well known that topological quantum field theories have no physical degrees of freedom. This is because every field in the theory has an associated “ghost” field which is identical in every way except it has opposite statistics [2]. If, then, we are to regard first order quantum gravity as a topological field theory we must show it has no physical degrees of freedom on an appropriate space. In our case, the topological space being the gauge fixing metric g_{ij} .

It is well known that gauge fields in an n dimensional space - time has $n - 2$ physical degrees of freedom. Since in our case we have gauge fields transforming under different groups (Poincaré and Yang - Mills groups) we need to take gauge degrees of freedom into account when calculating total degrees of freedom. One might therefore guess that if we have a gauge field of a gauge group G in an n dimensional space - time , the total number of space - time plus gauge degrees of freedom will be $(n - 2) \times \dim_{adj}(G)$. This is, however, incorrect. We see this as follows.

One way of counting degrees of freedom is to count the number of Laplacian determinants which appear in partition functions from quadratic operators. A bosonic like determinant $(\det \Delta)^{-1/2}$ contributing +1 and a fermionic like determinant $(\det \Delta)^{1/2}$ contributing -1 local bosonic degrees of freedom. Consider then a pure gauge field in an n dimensional space - time with field strength F_{ij} . The classical action expanded to quadratic order is given by:

$$\int F_{ij}^a F_a^{ij} \approx \int A_i^a (\partial_k \partial^k \eta^{ij} - \partial^i \partial^j) A_{ja} = \int A_i^a \Delta^{ij} A_{aj}. \quad (43)$$

The fully gauged fixed partition function (to second order) is thus given by:

$$Z_2 = \int D A_i^a D u_a D c_a D \bar{c}^a \exp i \int (A_i^a \Delta^{ij} A_{ja} + u_a \partial^i A_i^a + \bar{c}_a \partial^i D_i c^a), \quad (44)$$

where u_a is a Lagrange multiplier which enforces the gauge constraint $\partial^i A_i^a = 0$ (here we work in flat n dimensional space - time), c^a and \bar{c}_a are the ghost and anti - ghost fields, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and $a = 1, 2, \dots, \dim_{adj}(G)$. Integrating over the gauge and ghost fields gives (ignoring zero modes):

$$Z_2 \sim \int D u_a \frac{\prod_a \det(\partial^i D_i)}{\prod_{i,a} (\det \Delta)^{1/2}} \exp i \int \partial^i u_a \frac{1}{\Delta^{ij}} \partial^j u_a. \quad (45)$$

If we were only interested in space - time degrees of freedom we would stop here since the Lagrange multiplier field u_a is non - propagating. Counting degrees of freedom we have n bosonic and 2 fermionic determinants giving a total of $n - 2$ degrees of freedom. Since we also need to count gauge degrees of freedom, we must now perform the u_a integral:

$$Z_2 \sim \frac{\prod_a \det(\partial^i D_i)}{\prod_{i,a} (\det \Delta)^{1/2}} \times \prod_a (\det(\frac{\Delta}{\partial \partial}))^{1/2}. \quad (46)$$

We therefore see the total number of bosonic degrees of freedom for a single gauge boson in an n dimensional space - time is:

$$(n - 2) \dim_{adj}(G) - \dim_{adj}(G) = (n - 3) \dim_{adj}(G). \quad (47)$$

Similarly, after linearising the curvature two form R of equation (21) and performing the integrals over the vielbein and spin connection fields (up the quadratic order) simultaneously, we find the vielbein and spin connection fields contributes $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}(n - 3)$ bosonic degrees of freedom.

Returning back to our particle content of (41), we now see the total number of local bosonic degrees of freedom D_g is given by:

$$D_g = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}(n - 3) + (n - 3) \dim_{adj}(G) + 2 \sum_{p=1}^s \dim(\phi_p) - 2 \sum_{q=1}^f \dim(\psi_q), \quad (48)$$

where the subscript g refers to the topological space of interest. We therefore deduce:

$$K = 2 \times \frac{D_g}{4} \times \left[\frac{n^2 - 2n + 4}{4} \right]. \quad (49)$$

Clearly $K = 0$ when $D_g = 0$ and thus quantum gravity, when coupled to other fields, will have no local bosonic degrees of freedom propagating on the gauge fixing metric g_{ij} and therefore strengthening its interpretation as a topological field theory.

We finally note the real physical bosonic degrees of freedom D_{real} propagate on the space defined via the vielbein field e . For fixed e and spin connection ω , it is given by:

$$D_{real} = (n - 3) \dim_{adj}(G) + 2 \sum_{p=1}^s \dim(\phi_p) - 2 \sum_{q=1}^f \dim(\psi_q). \quad (50)$$

6 Particle physics

Let us now consider the vanishing of the index K in the context of particle physics. Let us further restrict ourselves to the physically interesting ball park of $n = 4$. Equation (42) then becomes:

$$K = \frac{6}{4}[10 + \dim_{adj}(G) + 2 \sum_s \dim(\phi_p) - 2 \sum_f \dim(\psi_f)] = 0. \quad (51)$$

The first thing we notice is our constraint implies the dimension of the gauge group G must be even. Since $\dim(Su(3) \otimes Su(2) \otimes U(1)) = 12$ our first instinct is to see if the standard model of particle physics respects the constraint. The quantum numbers of a single family with respect to $SU(3) \otimes SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ are:

$$(3, 2)_{1/6} \oplus (\bar{3}, 1)_{-2/3} \oplus (\bar{3}, 1)_{1/3} \oplus (1, 2)_{-1/2} \oplus (1, 1)_1, \quad (52)$$

where the first entry in the parenthesis indicates the representation under $SU(3)$, the second one of $SU(2)$ and the subindex the weak hypercharge Y . There is also a doublet Higgs transforming as $(1, 2)_{1/2}$.

It is easily shown that the particle content of the standard model does not give zero K . In fact, one obtains $K = \frac{6}{4}(-64)$. One can now force K to be zero by adding appropriate matter fields to the standard model. For example, an appropriate set of fields which will cancel the -64 in our constraint is to add a $SU(5)$ gauge theory together with two complex scalar fields transforming as a **10** under $SU(5)$. The particles of the standard model are then required to transform as singlets under this $SU(5)$. Thus, with this particle content, we can interpret [standard model] \otimes [**24** \oplus **10** \oplus **10**], where the second bracket refers to transformations under

$SU(5)$ of gauge bosons and complex scalar fields, as a topological field theory, with respect to the gauge fixing metric, when coupled to gravity.

As in string theory, we may interpret this unseen bosonic matter as hidden or dark matter. There is clearly no mixing between this matter and the standard model and we may therefore argue that this hidden sector interacts with our universe gravitationally only.

Similar remark applies to the unification gauge group $SU(5)$ with the three families in reducible representations $3(\bar{\mathbf{5}} + \mathbf{10})$ together with two Higgs fields transforming as $\mathbf{24}$ and $\mathbf{5}$. With this particle content we obtain $K = \frac{6}{4}(2)$. In this case, we can easily force K to be zero by considering a slight extension of the usual $SU(5)$ model. We simply add a singlet complex fermion field (one not three) which we may interpret as a right - handed neutrino. So, we can couple the standard $SU(5)$ model plus a right - handed singlet neutrino to gravity and interpret this theory as a topological field theory with respect to the gauge fixing metric.

Of course, we can go on in this manner and classify all possible interesting theories which respects the constraint (43). By inspection, one can guess we can generate a class of models similar to what one sees in string theory. However, our models will have no ugly mixing between observed and so called hidden sectors and gauge groups can easily be constructed to have low rank.

Let us again remark on two important observations which are manifest from the constraint (51) in $n = 4$ space - time dimensions. First, the adjoint representation of gauge fields must add up to an even number and, as in an arbitrary n dimensional space - time, there is a kind of “supersymmetry” at work in the sense the half spin fields must cancel out all contributions of the integer spin fields. Let us finally return to this observation in the context of n - dimensional space - time.

If one believes in unification, one must believe in supersymmetry since the unification of coupling constants is most naturally achieved in supersymmetric field theories around 10^{16} Gev. In particular, $N = 1$ space - time supersymmetry is needed if one desires chiral fermions. As we are discussing gravity it seems most natural to extend our ideas to supergravity. However, this program is not so straight forward in an arbitrary n - dimensional space - time since, for example, $N = 1$ space - time supersymmetry cannot be realised in arbitrary space - time dimensions if one demands particle helicities not to exceed 2 [14].

The supersymmetric like generalisation we have in mind is in the following sense. We have been viewing gravity as a gauge theory of the Poincaré group with vielbein fields e_i^a and spin connection fields ω_i^{ab} . Since these fields are spin - 1 vector bosons we can write

down a supersymmetric like version by adding to the theory fermionic partner fields. That is, add two adjoint real spin 1/2 fermions with one transforming as e_i^a and the other as ω_i^{ab} and similarly for the vector fields of the Yang - Mills gauge group G . Likewise, all matter fields we wish to couple to the theory are accompanied with partners of opposite statistics. A complex scalar field is added with a Weyl, or some complex fermion if n is not even, transforming identically as the complex scalar field under the Yang - Mills gauge group G , and vice versa. Note we are not worrying about equal number of fermion and boson states in a given multiplet here. With this set up, we see the matter fields will not contribute to the index K , the only contribution coming from all adjoint vector and fermion fields of the Poincaré group and Yang - Mills gauge group G . With this supersymmetric like model, we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{super} &= 2 \times \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \left(\frac{n-3}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \right) + \left(\frac{n-3}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \dim_{adj}(G) \right] \times \left[\frac{n^2 - 2n + 4}{4} \right] \\ &= 2 \times \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \frac{(n-4)}{4} + \frac{(n-4)}{4} \dim_{adj}(G) \right] \times \left[\frac{n^2 - 2n + 4}{4} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where we note a real fermion contributes $-1/4$ to K_{super} in a given gauge representation (a complex fermion contributing $-2/4$). Clearly, for $K_{super} = 0$ we must demand $n = 4$. This being the first time a theory has picked out the correct number of space - time dimensions! Unfortunately, this approach will tell us nothing regarding possible matter or Yang - Mills gauge content of the theory.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have attempted to give quantum gravity, in its first order formalism, an interpretation as a topological field theory with respect to some gauge fixing metric g_{ij} . We coupled gravity to gauge, scalar and fermion fields and argued the theory resembles a Schwartz type topological field theory if we regard all the fields in the classical action to be independent of g_{ij} .

By examining the path integral measure associated with the partition function, we discovered a constraint on the particle content of the theory together with the dimension of possible gauge groups and the number of space - time dimensions. Respecting this constraint can be thought of as a cancellation of a topological anomaly. Finally, we discussed possible models which respected this constraint.

One of the major issues confronting any formulation of non - perturbative quantum gravity is constructing the theory independently of any background metric [15]. One would like space - time to emerge from the theory without artificially introducing a given metric in order to perform calculations. Perhaps a nice way to bypass these difficulties is via the construction discussed in this paper. One can choose one's favorite space - time metric as a gauge fixing metric, perform calculations and show that your answers does not depend on the choice of your space - time metric. This gauge fixing metric clearly is not a physical metric with physical degrees of freedom propagating. Its primary purpose is to provide an arena for us to perform calculations in a generally covariant way.

Traditionally, the cancellation of anomalies is our root understanding of certain physical classifications, such as the particle content in a single family of the standard model where we are forced to arrange fermions into gauge anomaly free representations, as well as physical processes involving certain decay channels. The more constraints via anomalies one can impose on a theory the better chance we have of understanding its degree of uniqueness. Together with gauge and gravitational anomalies, topological anomalies may help us write down an unique theory of our universe.

References

- [1] D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski and G. Thompson Phys. Rep. 209 (1991) 129.
- [2] G. Thompson (1995) (hep-th/9511038).
- [3] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phy. 117 (1988) 353.
- [4] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B311 (1988/1989) 46.
- [5] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B323 (1989) 113.
- [6] G. Horowitz, Commun. Math. Phys. 125 (1989) 417.
- [7] R. Brooks (1995) hep-th/9512003
- [8] M. Banados (1996) gr-qc/9603029
- [9] A. Toon, Class Quan. Grav. 11 (1994) 2961.
- [10] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 (1979) 1195.
- [11] R. Kaul, R. Rajaraman, Phys. Lett. B249 (1990) 433.
- [12] K. Fujikawa and O. Yasuda, Nucl. Phys. B245 (1984) 436.
- [13] G. Horowitz, Class. Quan. grav. 8 (1991) 587.
- [14] J. Strathdee, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, Vol. 2, No. 1 (1987) 273.
- [15] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewanowski, (1996) (hep-th/9603083).